The creation and development of MDEP

(Multinational Design Evaluation Programme)

4th Conference on New Reactor Design Activities

London September 12-13, 2017

André-Claude Lacoste
MDEP Co-founder, Former ASN President (France)

Introduction

- Former ASN President (until November 2012)
- Co-founder and first MDEP Chairman (2006-2012)
- Not a neutral point of view
- Belief in collective initiatives

- > Focus on the foundation of MDEP: context, motivations and ambitions
- > MDEP achievements presented by Gary Holahan

The context in 2000-2005

- « Nuclear Renaissance » in Western countries: EPR, AP1000
- Cooperation between the Regulatory Bodies of the vendor/recipient countries
- Convergence of Regulatory Practices → convergence of Regulatory Requirements
- Generation IV International Forum (GIF)
- Single certification in the long term

In this context, two approaches

USNRC

- Nils Diaz: to extend worldwide the US certification
- **Europe:** Regulatory Bodies of France, Finland and other countries
 - Example of the cooperation between ASN and STUK
 - While maintaining and assuring national responsibility

Frank and open discussions

- New Reactor Design: EPR + AP1000
- Regulatory Bodies: USNRC, ASN + STUK + other European RB
- Relations USNRC/ASN:
 - Quite excellent on technical issues
 - Different views (resident inspectors, PSA/Deterministic approach)
- A lot of frank and open discussions with my US counterparts.



A step-by-step development

- From MDAP to MDEP
- A one-year pilot project conducted in 2006-2007 to assess the feasibility of the programme
 - Focused on Severe Accidents, Digital Instrumentation and Controls and Emergency Core Cooling Systems
- Initial two-year programme approved in 2007
- Specific recommendations and structure identified and approved in 2008
- Converted into long-term programme in 2009

Expected outcomes of the initial programme

- Setting up an enhanced co-operation among regulators:
 - To improve the effectiveness and efficiency of regulatory design reviews
 - To raise the safety assessment quality and the safety level
 - To facilitate convergence of regulatory requirements

MDEP Organization in 2009



Evolution of Motivations and Ambitions

- To go as far as possible on cooperation, sharing, harmonization and convergence of the reactor design review
- Maintaining two complementary categories of working groups:
 - Design-specific WGs, including technical experts subgroups
 - Issue-specific WGs
 - Possibility of cross-cutting activities
- In depth examination:
 - IRRS / Regulatory Bodies
 - OSART and WANO / Operators
 - ? / Design

MDEP Challenges

- Active involvement of Regulators, Vendors and Operators
- Innovative approaches and limited routine works
- Keep the initial impetus and structure going

Conclusion

- 10 years of strong international commitment on the new reactor design safety evaluation
- Clear interest for a collective initiative
- Complementary approaches:
 - Design-specific WGs
 - Issue-specific WGs
- Pride of being a co-founder of MDEP